

Problem Set 2

1. If $E[X_n] = 1$ and $E[X_n^2]$ is bounded in n , then

$$P \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \geq 1 \right\} > 0$$

This is due to Kochen and Stone. Truncate X_n at A to Y_n with A so large that $E[Y_n] > 1 - \epsilon$ for all n .

2. For any sequence of random variables $\{X_n\}$, and any $p \geq 1$;

$$X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely} \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely,}$$

$$X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p.$$

Show the second result is false for $p < 1$.

3. For arbitrary $\{X_n\}$, if

$$\sum_n E[|X_n|] < \infty$$

then $\sum_n X_n$ converges absolutely almost surely

4. Let $E[X_1] = 0$ and $\{c_n\}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely}$$

[Hint: Truncate X_n at n .]

5. We have $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ almost surely if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in pr.,}$$

$$(ii) \frac{S_{2^n}}{2^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely;}$$

an alternative set of conditions is (i) and (ii') $\forall \epsilon > 0 : \sum_n P(|S_{2^{n+1}} - S_{2^n}| > 2^n \epsilon) < \infty$.

6. If f is a ch.f., and G a d.f. with $G(0-) = 0$, then the following functions are all ch.f.'s:

$$\int_0^1 f(ut) du, \int_0^\infty f(ut) e^{-u} du, \int_0^\infty e^{-|t|u} dG(u),$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t^2 u} dG(u), \int_0^\infty f(ut) dG(u).$$

7. Show that except for a Borel set of measure 0, every number in $[0,1]$ is simply normal.
 (See Lecture 8, page 5)
8. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with distribution functions $\{F_n\}$. Let

$$S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j.$$

Let $\{b_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers $\ni b_n \nearrow \infty$ and

$$i) \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{|X|>b_n} dF_j(x) = o(1)$$

$$ii) \frac{1}{b_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{|X|>b} X^2 dF_j(x) = o(1)$$

Then, if

$$a_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{|x|\leq b_n} X dF_j(x)$$

we have $\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Moreover suppose $\exists \lambda > 0 \ni F_n(0) \geq \lambda \forall n$ and

$$1 - F_n(0-) \geq \lambda \forall n \text{ (i.e. } F_n(0-) \leq 1 - \lambda \forall n)$$

then if $\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability

i) and *ii)* must hold. Prove the converse. (See Lecture 8, page 9)